

JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR:
SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR:
REP. TIM SCHMITZ

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:
VICKI THOMAS



700 STRATTON BUILDING
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706
217/785-2254

MEMORANDUM

SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF
SEN. TONY MUÑOZ
SEN. SUE REZIN
SEN. DALE RIGHTER
SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN
REP. GREG HARRIS
REP. LOU LANG
REP. DAVID R. LEITCH
REP. DONALD L. MOFFITT
REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 20 2013

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

TO: Thomas Holbrook, Chairman
Pollution Control Board

FROM: Vicki Thomas
Executive Director

DATE: 3/18/2013

RE: Water Use Designations and Site-Specific Water Quality Standards (35 Ill. Adm. Code 303)
37 Ill. Reg. 2851 - 3/15/13

Pursuant to Section 5-40(c) of the Administrative Procedure Act, JCAR is requesting from your agency an analysis of the economic and budgetary effects of the above-referenced rulemaking. The analysis can be completed using the standard JCAR economic impact form and can be transmitted to JCAR as soon as it is ready, but at least by the time the agency submits its Second Notice on this rulemaking.

JCAR staff will contact you if they need any further economic information not covered by your submission of the form. The information from the economic and budgetary analysis will be presented to the members of JCAR as part of the staff's review of this issue.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact us at 217/785-2254.

VT:DC:rm
cc: John Therriault

JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR:
SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR:
REP. TIM SCHMITZ

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:
VICKI THOMAS



700 STRATTON BUILDING
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706
217785-2254

MEMORANDUM

SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF
SEN. TONY MUÑOZ
SEN. SUE REZIN
SEN. DALE RIGHTER
SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN
REP. GREG HARRIS
REP. LOU LANG
REP. DAVID R. LEITCH
REP. DONALD L. MOFFITT
REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

TO: Thomas Holbrook, Chairman
Pollution Control Board

FROM: Vicki Thomas
Executive Director

DATE: March 18, 2013

RE: Water Use Designations and Site-Specific Water Quality Standards (35 Ill. Adm. Code 303)
37 Ill. Reg. 2851 - 3/15/13

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 19 2013

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board
RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 20 2013

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

Pursuant to Section 5-40(b) of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, the Committee is requesting from your agency an analysis of the effects of the above-referenced rulemaking on units of local government, school districts, or community college districts, pursuant to the State Mandates Act, as it appears that this rulemaking may create or expand a State mandate on these entities.

The enclosed illustration entitled "State Mandates Act Questionnaire" indicates the information to be provided as a part of the Second Notice submitted to JCAR.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact us at (217)785-2254. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

VT:DC:rm

Enc.

cc: John Therriault

STATE MANDATES ACT QUESTIONNAIRE

Agency: Pollution Control Board

Heading of the Part: Water Use Designations and Site-Specific Water Quality Standards

Administrative Code Citation: 35 Ill Adm Code 303

Signature of Agency Head:

1. Does this rulemaking affect any of the following:

Municipality	_____	Other Unit of Local Govt.	_____
County	_____	School District	_____
Township	_____	Community College District	_____

2. Does this rule require any of the above entities to establish, expand or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues?

Yes _____ No _____ Number of units affected _____

If yes, please estimate the amount of additional expenditures necessitated by this rulemaking per unit of government: \$ _____

NOTE: If the dollar amount, or total number of units affected is unknown, please outline and attach to this form an explanation of the steps taken by the agency to determine the approximate expense of the rulemaking, and the number of units affected.

If no, please explain why the rule does not necessitate such additional expenditures.

3. Were any alternatives that do not necessitate additional expenditures considered?
Yes _____ No _____

If yes, please list these alternatives and explain why they were rejected.

4. What are the policy objectives of the rulemaking? (Please be specific)
5. Please explain why the policy objectives of this rule cannot be achieved in the absence of the rule or through a rule that does not create a State Mandate.